

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,826	03/15/2004	Seok-jun Won	5649-837DV	1388
20792	7590 11/30/2005		EXAMINER	
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			GRAYBILL, DAVID E	
PO BOX 3742			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RALEIGH, N	C 2/62/		2822	

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ຠ'
· 	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/800,826	WON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David E. Graybill	2822	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address -	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting 17 iiii apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Mi 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant 	action is non-final.	oscopution as to the morito	. i.a
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·) 13
Disposition of Claims	r parte quayre, 1995 C.D. 11, 10	30 0.0. 210.	
·			
4) Claim(s) <u>29-50</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>29-50</u> are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the	epted or b) \square objected to by the R drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicati ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Page 2

- Claims 29-31, drawn to a product, classified in class 428, subclass 540.
- II. Claims 32-34, drawn to a product, classified in class 257, subclass 746.
- III. Claims 35-42, drawn to a process, classified in class 427, subclass 457.
- IV. Claims 43-50, drawn to a process, classified in class 438, subclass 652.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because to both the subcombination and combination are presented and assume claims d to be patentable, and the omission of details of the claimed subcombination in the

combination claim is evidence that the patentability of the combination does not rely on the details of the specific subcombination.

The subcombination has separate utility such as for use in other than an integrated circuit device.

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product such as a product not having a stratified oxygen concentration. To further clarify, because the transitional claim language "comprising" is inclusive of additional process steps other than the particular recited steps, the scope of the process claims encompasses a step of changing the oxygen concentration to make a final product not having a stratified oxygen concentration.

Inventions I and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP

§ 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as a process having no step of forming a lower electrode.

Inventions II and III are related as combination and process of making a subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination made by the process of making the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination made by the process of making the subcombination as claimed has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination made by the process of making the subcombination as claimed because claims to both the subcombination made by the process of making the subcombination as claimed and combination are presented and assume to be patentable, and the omission of details of the claimed subcombination made by the process of making the subcombination as claimed in the combination claim is evidence that the patentability of the combination does not rely on the details of the specific subcombination made by the process of making the subcombination as claimed.

Inventions II and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be

shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product such as a product not having a stratified oxygen concentration. To further clarify, because the transitional claim language "comprising" is inclusive of additional process steps other than the particular recited steps, the scope of the process claims encompasses a step of changing the oxygen concentration to make a final product not having a stratified oxygen concentration.

Inventions III and IV are related as process for making the product and process for using the product. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for making the product as claimed can be practiced to make a different product than the product used by the process for using the product or (2) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with a different product than the product made by the process for making the product ((MPEP § 806.05(i)). In the instant case, the process for making the product as claimed can be practiced to make a different product than the product used by the process for using the product such as a product not having a stratified oxygen concentration. To further clarify, because the

transitional claim language "comprising" is inclusive of additional process steps other than the particular recited steps, the scope of the process claims encompasses a step of changing the oxygen concentration to make a final product not having a stratified oxygen concentration.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any one of Groups I-IV is not required for any other of Groups I-IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a nonelected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment Application/Control Number: 10/800,826 Page 7

Art Unit: 2822

of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

For information on the status of this application applicant should check PAIR: Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alternatively, applicant may contact the File Information Unit at (703) 308-2733. Telephone status inquiries should not be directed to the examiner. See MPEP 1730VIC, MPEP 203.08 and MPEP 102.

Any other telephone inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Graybill at (571) 272-1930. Regular office hours: Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

The fax phone number for group 2800 is (571) 273-8300.

David E. Graybill Primary Examiner Art Unit 2822

D.G. 25-Nov-05